

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: January 8, 2010  
Electronic Signature for Sharon M. Sintich: /Sharon M. Sintich/

Docket No.: 29915/00281FUS  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:  
Riqiang Yan et al.

Application No.: 10/801,487

Filed: March 16, 2004

For: SUBSTRATES AND ASSAYS FOR BETA-  
SECRETASE ACTIVITY

Confirmation No.: 2147

Art Unit: 1639

Examiner: J. Lundgren

**AMENDMENT AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

MS Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed July 8, 2009, in which pending claims 84, 85, 87-92 and 94-109 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph and the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. This response is timely filed with a petition and fee for three-months extension of time.

Please amend the above-identified U.S. patent application as follows:

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of the claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page 8 of this paper.

Accompanying this response is a terminal disclaimer and the required fee, and an Appendices A-C.